

1923-4

File No. 4-23

Previous References.

(V.V.)

V.V. 2386 1, 2, 3, 112

Serial Nos. / 32-135

Later references.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

704 (4)

Part B for

July 19, 23

SUBJECT

Estimates for 2500 Rs to be carried out
in connection with the Municipal
of 1923.

SL/35 To The Chief Engineer

The Comptroller to Govt.

No 8686-7/- 217.2.1923

cancels the original estimates for
Rs 62,000/- and sanctions the revised
estimates for Rs 60,888/- for the
of the def. & adj. to the

Serial
No.

132/From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
Letter No 15682/- B 13/5/1923
requesting to cancel the five estimates
sanctioned in G.O. No 8. 10-12/V.V.
4-23-63, B 17-10-1923 for works
to be carried out in the Mysore Division
in connection with the Viceregal visit
of 1923, and to accord sanction
to the independent estimates as sug-
gested by the Executive Engineer and
opined by the Comptroller as the
procedure involves no excess over
the sanctioned amount, but regula-
rises the expenditure and the account
therefor.

Subd:—

It may be pointed out here that
the total estimates according to the
G.O. No 8. 10-12/V.V. 4-23-63 B 17-10-23
as it is and that quoted by the
Chief Engineer do not quite agree.
There is also a little difference between
the total estimates mentioned in the
Chief Engineer's letter and that com-
municated by the letter to the
Comptroller. Neither the list of indepen-
ent estimates nor its total amount
has ~~been~~ been mentioned in the

Total Estimate is
flap Rs 62600/-

flap Rs 60960/-
Total Estimate is

flap Rs 60688/-
The total estimate

Serial
No

Chief Engineers letters. However
as it is stated that the procedure
involves no excess over the sanctioned
amount, and at the same time, it
helps to regularize the expenditure
and the accounts. Therefore the
original estimates may be cancelled
and sanction may be accorded
to the independent estimates as
split up by the Executive Engineer.
Submitted for order with a draft.

K.800 } To O.
218/5/287 } The figure here to be
submitted before Govt
when sanction can be awarded.

This may be pointed out to
in explanation called for
by

18/5

Subd with a draft - to the Chief
Engineers pointing out the difference
in the three estimates & calling for
the list of the independent estimates
to be sanctioned.

K.800
218/5/287
by

Done
mug 15

51627

Serial
No.

Sold with a clean typed bill

Dr 2/1
8.7.25
but

for approval

mu
9/7

Devaanthu
H secy

mu
10.7.25

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
10. JUL 1925

79.85-25

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
REC'D. ON 11 JUL 1925
Reg. No. 311

1/10 7
1/10 7
1/10 7
1/10 7

To The Hon. Revenue
The Comptroller to Govt.
No 2486-7 dt 17.7.1925
Cancel the original estimates
for Rs 62600/- and sanctions the
revised estimates for Rs 60888/- for
purposes of audit and adjustment

for 5214

40216

WD 1998—GPB—5,000—27-4-25

15632

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore,....13....M....A....Y....1925.

V.V. 4-23-132



JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore.

PP
To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

VICEREGAL VISIT OF 1923.

With reference to G.O.No.G.10-12/V.V.4-23-63 dated the 17th October 1923 sanctioning estimates for works to be carried out in connection with the Viceregal Visit of November 1923, I have

1. Repairs to Mysore Manantody Road	Rs.25,000	) the honour to
2. Seringapam Works	Rs. 2,000	
3. Improvements to the Daira Dowlat Bagh.	Rs. 5,200	
4. Karapur Camp Works	Rs.23,200	) estimates as --
5. Temporary Sub Division.	Rs. 5,488	
6. Add for rounding —	Rs. 72	) sanctioned for

60,960/-

works in the Mysore Division aggregated Rs.60,960/- as detailed in the margin. The Executive Engineer on receipt of the ----- sanctioned estimates split them up into several independent --- estimates according to the service head to which each work has to relate, without the knowledge of this office, carried out the works and submitted Completion Reports as well. As the action taken by the Executive Engineer was a deviation from the ----- sanctioned estimates, the Comptroller of Mysore raised objection to this procedure in the first instance. After series of ----- correspondence with the Executive Engineer, the Comptroller of Mysore was convinced with the explanation offered by the ----- Executive Engineer, in his letter No.536 of the 28th January 1925 (copy enclosed) and endorsed his opinion that with a view to set the long question at rest, steps may be taken to cancel

Letter No.536 dated the 28th January 1925, from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, Mysore, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

Reference.- Chief Engineer's No.369 dated 9-7-1924, making --- certain observations in the method of accounting adopted by me for "Works and repairs in connection with the Viceregal reception of --- December 1923".

@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

With reference to the above, I have the honour to state that, I thought that though a single estimate was sanctioned for the project in G.O.No.G.10-12/V.V.4-23-63 dated 17-10-1923, the works and repairs involved in it would be classified under the two ----- departmental heads and three service heads.

The following are the reasons which led me to split up the single sanction into a number of component works:-

(1) As will be seen from the statement of account submitted in my letter No.412 dated 6-3-1924, each work is different from the other and a number of such works cannot be clubbed together without sacrificing clearness.

(2) But for this splitting up, the charges appertaining to each departmental and service head cannot be classified correctly.

(3) The splitting up of the project enabled me to better scrutinise the charges as regards their legitimacy and reasonableness.

(4) The splitting up also enabled me to keep the accounts of works clearly, close them and submit completion reports quickly.

II. The sanction communicated for these works by the Chief Engineer, Roads and Buildings to the Comptroller consists of only the following five items as stated by that officer in his No.2776.WAD.III dated 10-4-1924.

(1) Repairs to Mysore Manantody Road	Rs.25,000
(2) Seringapatam Road	Rs. 2,000
(3) Karapur Camp Works	Rs.23,000
(4) Daria Dowlat Bagh	Rs. 5,200
(5) Expenditure on special Sub Division	Rs. 5,488
	<u>60,688</u>

Of these, the sum of Rs.25,000/- referred to in item (1) represents Rs.24,000/- for "Mysore Manantody Road" and Rs.1,000/- for "Hunsur Begur Road" and these are two different works.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

V.V.

File No.

4-23

Serial No.

133

No.

48932

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the

192

Date of issue

2/5/25

Signed by

Mr. H.V.9

To

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

For reference to your letter no 15632/-813-5-25 re the estimates for works in connection with the imperial visit of 1923, I am directed to point out that the total estimate according to G.O. no 10-12/V.V.4-23-63817-10-23 comes to Rs 62600/- ^{whereas} from the figures given in the margin of your letter, it comes to

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sus
1.6.25

over

50397

16745-2 15-6-25
MEMORANDUM


5-62-7
 1925.
 V. V. 4-23-131

From

To

The Chief Engineer
 of Mysore.
 Bangalore.

The Chief Secretary
 to Government,
 Bangalore.



W. T. D.

With reference to your letter no. G. 8932 / V. V. 4-23-133 dated the 21st
 May 1925, I have the honor to inform you that as observed by you, the
 estimates sanctioned for the Mysore Division in connection with the Viceroy's
 visit of 1923 is Rs 62,600 as observed by you. The difference of the sum
 of Rs 1640/- from the figure originally reported is due to this amount being
 accounted for against the Mysore Special Division. As regards the other
 discrepancy, it may be mentioned that the amount as detailed in the
 Executive Engineer's letter amounts to Rs 60,888 and not Rs 60,688/- as
 observed by you. The difference of the sum of Rs 72/- is due to the omission
 the provision made for rounding off the numbers. The Executive

MEMORANDUM

Reply from

To

192

Engineering has taken into account only the book portion.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant.

Wm. L. H. - - - - -
For Chas. E. - - - - -

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government Order No.G. 10-12/V.V.
4-23-63 dated the 17th October 1923 sanc-
tioning certain estimates for works in the
Mysore Division to be carried out in con-
nection with the Viceregal Visit of 1923.

2. Correspondence ending with letter
No. 16745 dated the 15th June 1925 from -
the Chief Engineer of Mysore, stating that
the original estimates sanctioned in the
Government Order read above for Rs.62,600/-
had to be split up by the Executive Engineer
Mysore Division into the five estimates -
noted in the margin according to the ser-
vice heads to which each work related, the
total thereof (which represents the expendi-
ture actually incurred) being Rs. 60,888/-
and requesting ~~concession~~ on the sug-
gestion of the Comptroller to Government, for
sanction to the modified estimates.

* 1. Repairs to Mysore	
Manantoddy Road.	Rs. 25,000
2. Seringapatam "	Rs. 2,000
3. Karapur Camp	
Works. . .	Rs. 23,200
4. Daria Dowlat Bagh	Rs. 5,200
5. Expenditure on	
special Sub-Dn.	Rs. 5,488
Total	60,888

Order No. 9486.7
Dated Bangalore 17th July 1925.

The original estimates for Rs. 62,600
are cancelled and the revised estimates for
Rs. 60,888/- sanctioned for purposes of
audit and adjustment.

To
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
" Comptroller to Government.